#### North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources Division of Forest Resources Forest Management 2000 - 2003

# **BMP Implementation Survey Form**

## Part I. General Information

Date:	County	# Acres in Harvest Operation
District #	Region #	Name of Adjacent Highway
Inspector Name		# Acres in Harvest Operation Name of Adjacent Highway Location (GPS; Lat/Long; QBSP)
Logger Name:		Company Name:
Logger Address:		
	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	
Home Phone: (	)	_ Work Phone: ( )
Ownership (check or	ne): 🗖 Government 🛛	Private Non-industrial 🗖 Private Industrial
Tachnical foractry as	vajatanaa waa providad fa	r the hervest by (sheek all that apply):
•	-	r the harvest by (check all that apply): orester
		known D Other
Is logger/contractor a	a graduate of the NCFA P	roLogger Program? 🗖 Yes 🗖 No
(If Yes, answer ques	tions below. Answer if log	gger onsite, otherwise CO will obtain information.)
Approximate month/	year graduated	Course location
		Yes No (If Yes, check all that apply)
	-	BMP Checklist for Forest Harvest Operations
	-	FPG Booklet/Regulations
	Dance Material:	
Logger notified	County 🗖 District off	fice of his intent to harvest: 🛛 Yes 🗂 No
Pre-harvest plan (PF	IP) performed: 🗖 Yes	
PHP completed b	· · ·	DFR Staff
	Timber Buyer (Co	
Did you see writte		· · ·
Estimated completion	n (%) of harvest operatior	n at time of survey:
<b>D</b> 0-25%	25-50% 50-75%	% 🗖 75-100% complete

# Part II. Site Information and Characteristics

<ol> <li>Dominant forest type prior to harves</li> <li>Intensive Forest Management</li> <li>Passive Forest Management</li> </ol>	t É	Forested Wetland Management
<ul> <li>2. Dominant Land Feature (check one)</li> <li></li></ul>		<b>]</b> Other:
3. Physiographic Province (check one)	: 🗖 Coastal Plain	Piedmont Mountain
<ul> <li>4. Principle Soil Class (check one):</li> <li><b>D</b> Sandy-clay-loam</li> </ul>	☐ Clay   ☐ Loam ☐ Sandy-clay	☐ Sand
5. Estimated slope of harvested/treated		edominant waterbody (circle one):45%46+%
6. Soil erodibility Class (circle one):	A (LOW) (MODER	ATE) C (HIGH)
7. Soil Erosion Type <b>observed near w</b> Sheet Rill Gu	-	None Observed
Part III. Forestry Operations		
<ul> <li>1. Timber Harvest Method (check all that</li> <li>Regeneration Harvest</li> <li>Clearcut</li> <li>Seed Tree</li> <li>Shelterwood</li> </ul>	at apply):  Intermediate Harve Thinning Croptree Release Improvement	est  Salvage Cut Selection Other
<ul> <li>2. Primary (merchantable) timber specie</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>Loblolly Pine</li> <li>Longleaf Pine</li> <li>Eastern White Pine</li> </ul>	_	od species
3. Are Forested Wetland Roads present	t on this site? 🗖 Yes	🗖 No
4. Are Stream Crossings present on this	s site? 🗖 Yes 🛛 🗍	No

## Part IV. BMPs Applicable to Operations

BMP Description	Check if BMP applies*	Go To Page
Streamside Management Zones (SMZ)		
SMZ Width		6
SMZ Conditions		7
Stream Temperature		7
Debris Entering Stream		8
Waste Entering Stream		8
Roads, Skid Trails, & Stream Crossings		
Permanent Forest Roads		9
Skid Trails		10
Stream Crossings		11
Access Road Entrances		12
Rehabilitation of Project Site		12

\*Note: For the purpose of this survey, the DFR "surveyor" determines which BMPs apply, not the logger or landowner. Avoid bias resulting from the presence of BMPs that are not applicable and vice versa. Forest Harvest Operations can be viewed as a three-step process: (1) Pre-harvest Planning, (2) Logging Operation, and (3) Project Closeout. The BMP Implementation Survey you conduct will be in one of these three phases or a transition from one phase to another. Please refer to DFR's BMP Checklist for Forest Harvest Operations handbook published in 1996. This reference will assist you in determining what to look for with respect to the "surveyed" forest harvest phase. Please indicate below the phase of the operation:

Pre-harvest Planning

Logging Operation

Project Closeout

Pre-harvest Planning/Logging Operation
Logging Operation/Project Closeout

State and Local Buffer Protection Rules: Presently, there are state-mandated forest harvest compliance requirements for the Neuse and Tar-Pamlico River basins and a portion of the Catawba River Mainstem. This survey contains questionnaire components that address the above three (3) rules only. Other specific watershed, stream, and local buffer rules and tree ordinances exist within NC that are not addressed in this survey. Should you be aware of additional buffer rule or tree ordinance applicability when completing this survey, please identify the following:

Government Entity:

Applicable Ordinance (Including codification)

Other Comments:

Note: The purpose of this inquiry is to identify the rule/ordinance existence for information management; determining compliance for the purposes of this survey is not required.

If harvest operation is in the I Neuse River Basin / I Tar-Pamlico River Basin answer the following:
For Perennial Streams*
Fifty-foot SMZ width present:
In first ten feet of Zone 1, "selective harvest" in compliance with Neuse/Tar-Pam Buffer
Rule: 🗖 Yes 🗖 No
In outer twenty feet of Zone 1, "selective harvest" in compliance with Neuse/Tar-Pam Buffer Rule:
In twenty-feet of Zone 2, ground cover is in compliance with Neuse/Tar-Pam Buffer Rule:
🗖 Yes 🗖 No
For Intermittent Streams*
Fifty-foot SMZ width present: 🗖 Yes 🗖 No 🗖 N/A
In first ten feet of Zone 1, "selective cut" in compliance with Neuse/Tar-Pam Buffer Rule:
🗖 Yes 🗖 No
In outer twenty feet of Zone 1, "selective cut" in compliance with Neuse/Tar-Pam Buffer
Rule: 🗖 Yes 🗖 No
In Zone 2, ground cover is in compliance with Neuse/Tar-Pam Buffer Rule:
🗖 Yes 🗖 No
For Perennial Waterbody*
Fifty-foot SMZ width present: 🛛 Yes 🗖 No 🗖 N/A
In first ten feet of Zone 1, "selective cut" in compliance with Neuse/Tar-Pam Buffer Rule:
🗖 Yes 🗖 No
In outer twenty feet of Zone 1, "selective cut" in compliance with Neuse/Tar-Pam Buffer
Rule: 🗖 Yes 🗖 No
In Zone 2, ground cover is in compliance with Neuse/Tar-Pam Buffer Rule:
🗖 Yes 🗖 No
*Do not transfer responses to Overall BMP Implementation Summary page.
<b>Note</b> : The Neuse and Tar-Pamlico Buffer Rules require that the property be under present use valuation or managed according to a forest management plan prepared or approved by a registered professional forester. Within the first 10 feet of Zone 1, selected high value trees (defined within the rule) may be cut, so long as the tree has no exposed primary roots in the streambank. In the outer 20 feet of Zone 1, up to 50% of the trees greater than 5 inches in DRH may be remeved with regentry as more frequent than 15 years.

greater than 5 inches in DBH may be removed, with reentry no more frequent than 15 years. In plantations, reentry is allowed every 5 years. In Zone 2, harvesting and regeneration is allowed provided that sufficient ground cover is maintained to provide for diffusion and infiltration of surface runoff. Please consult the buffer rules for further details or call the Central Office staff.

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

If harvest operation borders the mainstem of the Catawba River and/or mainstem lakes including Lake James, Lake Rhodhiss, Lake Hickory, Lookout Shoals Lake, Lake Norman, Mountain Island Lake, and Lake Wylie (NC portion) answer the following:
For Zone 1*
Thirty-foot SMZ width present: 🛛 Yes 🗇 No 🗂 N/A
**In first ten feet of Zone 1, "selective harvest" in compliance with Catawba Buffer Rule:
🗖 Yes 🗖 No
**In outer twenty feet of Zone 1, "selective harvest" in compliance with Catawba Buffer
Rule: 🗍 Yes 🗍 No
Specific Harvest Requirements For Zone 1**:
Timber felling has been directed away from the waterbody:
🗖 Yes 🗖 No 🗖 N/A
Tracked and wheeled vehicles have been kept out of the buffer except at stream crossings:
🗖 Yes 🗖 No 🗖 N/A
Skidding, by alternative methods, has been directed away from the waterbody in a manner that minimized soil disturbance and is in compliance with the FPGs:
🗖 Yes 🗖 No 🗖 N/A
Logging decks and sawmill sites are located outside the buffer:
🗖 Yes 🗖 No 🗖 N/A
For Zone 2*
Twenty-foot SMZ width present: D Yes D No D N/A
Specific Harvest Requirements For Zone 2:
Harvesting and regeneration of Zone 2 is in compliance with the FPGs.
*Do not transfer responses to Overall BMP Implementation Summary page.
**Note: The Catawba Buffer Rule establishes a 50-foot buffer width divided into two zones; Zone 1 (closest to the waterbody) being 30-feet and Zone 2 being 20-feet. Selective harvesting requirements allows removal of individual high value trees (trees greater or equal to 18 inches stump diameter for both pines and hardwoods) in the first 10 feet of Zone 1; this includes removal of trees with exposed primary roots in the streambank. In the outer 20 feet of Zone 1, trees greater than 12-inch diameter stump may be cut and removed. There is no requirement that a certain percentage of trees are left but the remaining trees should be evenly spaced. Application of fertilizer (prohibited except for permanent stabilization), natural regeneration/tree planting (permitted provided soil disturbance is minimized), and high intensity prescribed burns (prohibited) are not addressed in the context of the survey. If you should notice a violation of any of these three, please make a note in the Comments section below. Other buffer rule compliance issues should be commented on as well. Please consult the buffer rules for further details or call the Central Office staff should other questions arise.

# Comments:

County: Landowner:							_ Date:		
BMP: Streamside Management (Refer to 12-16 of the 1989 Fore information about these catego	stry BM	. ,		re					
Type of stream:					Both P	erennial	and Int	termitter	nt
1. Was a braided stream pres	ent in the	e harve	st zone?		Yes		No		
2. If stream, indicate Stream (	)rder (cij	t lle all t	hat annlı	ر). 1 <sup>s</sup>	<sup>it</sup> 2 <sup>n</sup>	<sup>d</sup> 3 <sup>rd</sup>	⊿ <sup>th</sup>	High	٥r
<ul> <li>3. Indicate approximate width</li> <li>N/A □ 0-5'</li> </ul>	(feet) of			,,	_	-	-	Ũ	
Indicate approximate width	· ,	•			-	found o	n this s	site:	
4. Pre-harvest stream canopy	provide	s: 🗖 (	)-25%	<b>1</b> 26-50	% 🗖	51-75%	6 🗖 7	76-100%	shade.
5. Post-harvest stream canop	y provide	es: 🗖 0	-25%	□ 26-50	%	51-75%	6 🗖 7	76-100%	shade.
Type of Stream or Waterbody (check one)	BMP R	ecomm	e of Adja ended SI restry BMF	MZ Width		v	Vidth To Sedin	ufficient o Confin nent? , No or N	
	0-5%	6- 10%	11- 20%	21- 45%	46+ %	Left E	Bank*	Right	Bank*
Intermittent	50'	50'	50'	50'	50'	Y / N	N/A	Y / N	N/A
Perennial	50'	50'	50'	50'	50'	Y / N	N/A	Y / N	N/A
Perennial, Trout waters	50'	66'	75'	100'	125'	Y / N	N/A	Y / N	N/A
Public Waterbodies	50'	100'	150'	150'	200'	Y / N	N/A	Y / N	N/A
*Determine left and right banks by	facing do	ownstrear	n						

### Comments:\_\_\_\_\_

Landowner: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

BMP: Streamside Management Zone (SMZ) Conditions (Refer to pages 12-16 of the 1989 Forestry BMP Manual for more information about these categories)	MEETS BMP GUIDELINES			THREATS OR RISKS TO WATER QUALITY		
	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	
SMZ uniformly maintained along intermittent & perennial streams/waterbodies.						
Roads or trails minimized in SMZ (exclude stream crossing access).						
Trees were felled away from stream channel.						
Skidders and other equipment use was minimized in SMZ (except at stream crossings).						
Forest floor/ground cover is essentially undisturbed.						
No visible sediment from operations present in streams/waterbodies.						
Felled trees removed without machinery entering SMZ in areas where ephemeral streams intersect intermittent/perennial waters						
Trees and logging debris removed from streams.						
Logging decks and/or sawmill sites located outside of SMZ.						
Logging decks and/or sawmill sites in SMZ > 10 feet from stream/waterbody. (Exception)						
Fuels and chemicals stored outside SMZ.						
Innovative BMP utilized: TYes TNo. If yes, describe in Comments.						
Total Responses for Streamside Management Zone (SMZ) Conditions						
BMP: Stream Temperature (Refer to page 13 of the 1989 Forestry BMP Manual for more information about these categories)	MEETS BMP GUIDELINES					
<b>,</b>	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	
Adequate shade ( $\geq$ 75% original canopy) maintained on stream channel to						
protect perennial/intermittent streams from adverse temperature fluctuations.						
Innovative BMP utilized: D Yes D No. If yes, describe in Comments.						
Total Responses for Stream Temperature						

BMPs were not applied to: D SMZ Width / D SMZ Conditions / D Stream Temperature, but no threat to water quality (WQ) exists.

#### Comments:

County	•
	•

Landowner: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

BMP: Debris Entering Stream (Refer to pages 12-16 of the 1989 Forestry BMP Manual for more information about these categories)	MEETS BMP GUIDELINES			THREATS OR RISKS TO WATER QUALITY		
	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	
Stream obstructions (i.e., soils) from forestry operation(s) nonexistent.						
Stream flow impairment (i.e., soils) by forestry operation(s) nonexistent.						
Logging and site preparation debris kept out of stream channels.						
Stream obstructions (i.e., logging debris) from forestry operation(s) nonexistent.						
Stream flow impairment (i.e., logging debris) by forestry operation(s) nonexistent.						
Stream channel/course has not been altered by obstruction(s).						
Innovative BMP utilized: I Yes I No. If yes, describe in Comments.						
Total Responses for Debris Entering Stream						
BMP: Waste Entering Streams, Waterbodies, or Groundwater (Refer to pages 13 & 47-48 of the 1989 Forestry BMP Manual for more information about these categories)		ETS B IDELIN		THREATS OR RISKS TO WATER QUALITY		
	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	
Waste oil or other petroleum products were not discharged on site or into streams, waterbodies, or groundwater.						
Fertilizers and other chemical wastes were not discharged into streams, waterbodies, or groundwater.						
All petroleum and chemical containers were removed from logging site.						
Chemical and fuel loading and storage was conducted outside of SMZ.						
Innovative BMP utilized: D Yes D No. If yes, describe in Comments.						
Total Responses for Waste Disposal						

BMPs were not applied to: 
debris in stream / 
waste in stream, but no threat to WQ exists.

8

### Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

County: \_\_\_\_\_ Landowner: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

BMP: Permanent Forest Roads (Refer to pages 17-20 of the 1989 Forestry BMP Manual for more information about these categories)			MEETS BMP GUIDELINES			THREATS OR RISKS TO WATER QUALITY	
		Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	
Permanent roads established a year or more in advance	•						
Permanent roads are a minimum width of 10-14 feet for	single track road.						
Permanent roads placed on gentle side slopes and not	ridge tops.						
Permanent roads are located outside of SMZ.							
Permanent roads follow contour lines.							
Permanent roads have grades of 1-10%.							
<ul> <li>Drainage structures implemented: (check all that apply)</li> <li>ditch turnouts</li> <li>outsloping</li> <li>wing ditches</li> <li>broad based dips (truck haul roads)</li> <li>rolling grade or dips (skid trails &amp; steep roads)</li> <li>cross-drain culverts</li> <li>bridges</li> </ul>	Correctly InstalledYesNoYesNoYesNoYesNoYesNoYesNoYesNoYesNoYesNoYesNoYesNoYesNo						
All drainage outfalls stabilized with riprap, heavy brush, or logs (circle all that apply).	🗖 Yes 🗖 No						
Permanent roads intersect streams at right angle to stre							
Bridge/culvert used where permanent roads cross strea	ms or waterbodies.						
Innovative BMP utilized: 🗖 Yes 🗖 No. If yes, describ	e in Comments.						
Total Responses for Permanent Roads							

BMPs were not applied to: 
forest roads, but no threat to WQ exists.

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

County: \_\_\_\_\_ Landowner: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

BMP: Skid Trails (Refer to pages 25-27 of the 1989 Forestry BMP Manual for more information about these categories)	MEETS BMP GUIDELINES			THREATS OR RISKS TO WATER QUALITY		
	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	
Logging slash and debris placed on bare ground to prevent erosion.						
Water bars/water diversions constructed where needed.						
Rehabilitation completed for inactive skid trails.						
Skid trails minimized in SMZ.						
Skid trails intersecting streams at right angle to SMZ.						
Soil compaction was avoided by concentrating skidder traffic on primary trails.						
"Souping" was avoided.						
"Rutting" was avoided.						
Skid trails follow contours where possible.						
Skid trails do not exceed grades of 25%.						
"Closed" skid trails protected by adequate waterbars or brush piles.						
Innovative BMP utilized:  Yes INo. If yes, describe in Comments.						
Total Responses for Skid Trails						

BMPs were not applied to: 
BMPs were not applied to: 
Skid trails, but no threat to WQ exists.

Comments:

County: \_\_\_\_\_ Landowner: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

BMP: Stream Crossings (Refer to pages 28-32 of the 1989 Forestry BMP Manual for more information about these categories)			MEETS BMP GUIDELINES			THREATS OR RISKS TO WATER QUALITY	
		Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No	
Stream flow obstruction and impairment avoided.							
Stream channel use as access road or skid trail avoided	d.						
Stream crossings stabilized and erosion prevented or c	ontrolled.						
Sufficient water control devices employed to collect and direct surface water flow away from stream.							
Ground cover is present to prevent visible sediment in s	tream.						
Type of stream crossing: (check all that apply)  culvert  bridge  rock ford  dragline/bridge mat (□ wood/ □ steel)  other							
<ul> <li>Stream crossing(s) are:</li> <li>Permanent</li> <li>Temporary</li> <li>Both Permanent and Temporary</li> </ul>							
Innovative BMP utilized: TYes TNo. If yes, describe in Comments.							
Total Responses for Stream Crossings							

BMPs were not applied to:  $\Box$  stream crossings, but no threat to WQ exists.

# Comments:

County	<i>,</i> .	
County	1.	

Landowner: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

BMP: Access Road Entrances (Refer to page 19 of the 1989 Forestry BMP Manual for more information about these categories)	MEETS BMP GUIDELINES		THREATS OR RISKS TO WATER QUALITY		
	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No
Excessive soil on the highway adjacent to access was avoided.					
Logging debris or trash on the highway adjacent to access was avoided.					
Drainage easement/ditch between main highway and access road (1) bridged by appropriate means; (2) properly stabilized and (3) not impeding storm water flow.	1 🗆 2 🗖 3 🗖	1 🗆 2 🗖 3 🗖	1 🗆 2 🗖 3 🗖	1 🗆 2 🗖 3 🗖	1 🗆 2 🗖 3 🗖
Innovative BMP utilized: 🗖 Yes 🗖 No. If yes, describe in Comments.					
Total Responses for Access Road Entrances					
BMP: Rehabilitation of Project Site (Refer to pages 51-66 of the 1989 Forestry BMP Manual for more information about these categories)	MEETS BMP GUIDELINES		THREATS OR RISKS TO WATER QUALITY		
	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	No
High/accelerated erosion areas have been provided ground cover or other sediment control measure(s) within 30 working days after ceasing any operational phase or beginning a period of inactivity in a portion of the entire harvest tract.					
Soils properly prepared for conventional seeding.					
Lime and fertilizer properly incorporated into soils.					
Seeding accomplished in an effective manner.					
Mulching (following seeding) meets the (1) 25% ground surface visibility standard; (2) mulch properly anchored.		1 🗖 2 🗖	1 🗖 2 🗖	1 🗖 2 🗖	1 🗖 2 🗖
Innovative BMP utilized: 🗖 Yes 🗖 No. If yes, describe in Comments.					
Total Responses for Rehabilitation of Project Site					

BMPs were not applied to: 
access road entrances / 
rehab of site, but no threat to WQ exists.

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

# **Overall BMP Implementation Summary**

BMP Description	Number of Yes Responses	Number of No Responses	Total Yes + No	% Yes	Total Number of Threats or Risks to Water Quality
Streamside Management Zones (SMZ)					
SMZ Width					
SMZ Conditions					
Stream Temperature					
Debris Entering Stream					
Waste Entering Stream					
Roads, Skid Trails, & Stream Crossings					
Permanent Forest Roads					
Skid Trails					
Stream Crossings					
Access Road Entrances					
Rehabilitation of Project Site					
Totals					
Overall Implementation (%)					
Total Practices with threats or risks to water quality (%)					

### 4808 Information

\_/\_\_\_ \_\_\_/ \_\_\_\_ this forestry operation was inspected for compliance with the Forest Practices On Guidelines, applicable buffer rules, and other water quality regulations.

 $\partial$  This forestry operation is currently **in compliance** with the Forest Practices Guidelines.

 $\partial$  This forestry operation is currently in non-compliance with the Forest Practices Guidelines because of the following marked violations:

0.0201 Streamside management zone

0.0202 Stream obstruction

0.0204 Access road entrances

0.0206 Pesticide application

0.0205 Prohibition of waste entering streams,

0 .0203 Access road and skid trail stream crossings

- waterbodies and groundwater
- 0.0207 Fertilizer application
  - 0.0208 Stream temperature
  - 0.0209 Rehabilitation of project site

**CORRECTIVE ACTION(S) NEEDED:** 

Surveyor Name:	District:			
On mileage to capture	(month/day/year) I invested a total ofhours and survey(s).			

On \_\_\_\_\_(month/day/year) I attempted, without success, to conduct a survey in the county(ies) checked below. This attempt yielded no viable harvest operations that fit the survey's site selection criteria. My time and mileage investment in this effort was about

\_hours and \_\_\_\_\_mileage.

□ Alamance		□ Johnston	□ Randolph
$\Box$ Alexander	□ Currituck	□ Jones	
□ Alleghany	$\Box$ Dare	$\Box$ Lee	□ Robeson
□ Anson	□ Davidson	🗆 Lenoir	$\Box$ Rockingham
$\Box$ Ashe	$\Box$ Davie	□ Lincoln	□ Rowan
□ Avery	🗆 Duplin	□ Macon	□ Rutherford
□ Beaufort	□ Durham	$\Box$ Madison	□ Sampson
□ Bertie	□ Edgecombe	$\Box$ Martin	□ Scotland
□ Bladen	□ Forsyth	□ McDowell	□ Stanly
□ Brunswick	□ Franklin	□ Mecklenburg	□ Stokes
□ Buncombe	□ Gaston	□ Mitchell	□ Surry
□ Burke	□ Gates	□ Montgomery	□ Swain
□ Cabarrus	🗆 Graham	□ Moore	🗆 Transylvania
	□ Granville	$\Box$ Nash	□ Tyrrell
□ Camden	□ Greene	□ New Hanover	□ Union
□ Carteret	□ Guildford	$\Box$ Northampton	□ Vance
	🗆 Halifax	□ Onslow	□ Wake
🗆 Catawba	□ Harnett	$\Box$ Orange	□ Warren
$\Box$ Chatham	□ Haywood	Pamlico	$\Box$ Washington
□ Cherokee	□ Henderson	🗆 Pasquotank	🗆 Watauga
$\Box$ Chowan	□ Hertford	Pender	□ Wayne
□ Clay	□ Hoke	$\Box$ Perquimans	□ Wilkes
□ Cleveland	🗆 Hyde	□ Person	□ Wilson
□ Columbus	□ Iredell	🗆 Pitt	□ Yadkin
□ Craven	□ Jackson	🗆 Polk	□ Yancey

#### Summary Comments: \_\_\_\_\_